

4th September 2018

Freedom of Information Request – Reference No:20181492

REQUEST

The following record information is held in relation to a response to Lord Scriven.

Paul McCuary - 16th April 2018 - 09:22

Subject : RE Response to Lord Scriven Letter

<entirely redacted>

The Operation Quito release cites the following exemptions to redact information:

Section 40(2) Personal Information

Section 31(1) Law Enforcement

Section 38(1)(a)(b) Health and Safety

Section 41(1) Legal and professional Privilege.

1. Please identify which of the following exemptions apply to the email above.

2. Please release the contents of this email with minimal redaction, the contents of email was after operations of Operation Quito were over (March 22nd) as such Section 31(1), Section 38(1)(a)(b) would not apply based on this time frame. Section 41(1) - Legally privileged information is pursuant to 'advice' or 'litigation', the latter is protected under Section 41(1) the former is not.

Which leaves Section 40(2) Lord Scriven is a public figure. The only redaction required to satisfy this element would be contact details. Not the whole document.

SYP made comment regarding the letter to the Yorkshire Post

RESPONSE

Question 1 -

The Exemptions given within our Categories of Significant Interest section in relation to Op Quito cover the release all the information on this subject. The Overall Harm / Public Interest Tests and Balancing Test give explanation as to why we use them. SYP do not have to specifically state which exemption applies to each section/ page/ area of the release, but in this particularly instance I am happy to state that due to the depth and range of the content of the email, the following exemptions were triggered:

Section 30(1)(a) Investigations and Proceedings conducted by the Local Authority

Section 31(1) Law Enforcement

Question 2 –

See above exemptions and explanation.